



#### CENTER FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES IN SOCIAL & BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES (CDSS)

University of Mannheim L 9, 7 (Room 4.06) 68161 Mannheim cdss@uni-mannheim.de http://gess.uni-mannheim.de/CDSS

Academic Director:
Prof. Dr. Thomas Bräuninger
Phone: +49-621-181-2084
Fax: +49-621-181-3402

Center Manager: Jan Sebastian Ebert, M.A. Phone: +49-621-181-2053 Fax: +49-621-181-2042

## **Evaluation Statistics**

Course-Id: 823

Course-Name: CDSS Dissertation Proposal Workshop

Course-Field: /cdss
Term: FSS 2014
Lecturer(s): Gschwend

Number of participants: 10 complete/not complete: 4/6

#### 1.1.1. The course was well structured

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	1	3	0	0	0	0
relativ:	25 %	75 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.2. The choice of topics was well explained by the instructor

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion	
absolut:	2	0	0	0	0	2	
relativ:	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	50 %	

## 1.1.3. Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	0	1	1	0	0	2
relativ:	0 %	25 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	50 %

### 1.1.4. Instructor was well prepared

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	2	2	0	0	0	0
relativ:	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

## 1.1.5. Instructor provided the opportunity for discussions and questions

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	0	0	0	0	0
relativ:	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

### 1.1.6. Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	1	3	0	0	0	0
relativ:	25 %	75 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

### 1.1.7. Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	2	2	0	0	0	0
relativ:	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.8. Course details were announced in time

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	0	0	0	0	0
relativ:	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

## 1.1.9. The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable)

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion	
absolut:	0	0	0	0	0	4	
relativ:	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	100 %	

# 1.1.10. How much have you learned in this course compared to the other courses in this semester (on average)?

	much more	more	same	less	much less	no opinion
absolut:	0	0	2	0	0	2
relativ:	0 %	0 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	50 %

# 1.1.11. How much effort did you put into the preparation and wrap-up of the weekly course sessions?

	no effort	up to 1 hour	more than 1 to 2 hours	more than 2 to 4 hours	more than 4 hours	no opinion
absolut:	0	1	1	0	0	2
relativ:	0 %	25 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	50 %

#### 1.1.12. The course content was difficult

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	0	0	4	0	0	0
relativ:	0 %	0 %	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

### 1.1.13. The teaching was good

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	0	4	0	0	0	0
relativ:	0 %	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

## $\boldsymbol{1.1.14.}$ Overall, I am satisfied with the course

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	1	2	1	0	0	0
relativ:	25 %	50 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

### 1.1.15. What grade do you expect in this course?

	1	2	3	4	5	no opinion
absolut:	1	1	0	0	0	2
relativ:	25 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	50 %

#### 1.1.16. What did you especially like about the course?

- very friendly atmosphere - constructive criticism by the lecturer and the participants - discussants were well chosen

#### 1.1.17. What could/should be improved?

- the presentations should be a little longer - longer discussion time afterwards as well - the format could be changed so that 1 person presents each week (we were 10 out of 14 semester weeks) - work should be circulated to everyone - everyone should read the proposals of everyone - it felt like the seminar was cramped into too little space - presentations could be announced GESS-wide so that maybe 1 or 2 more people show up now and then

More meetings should be scheduled